	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/902,910	PARROTT, JOHN C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
- •	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Mr. David B. Waller (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Dat of Interview: 31 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Section 112, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph including lack of antecedent basis		
Claims discussed: generally all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Jennile E. Navinl		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

v

ì

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed:

- (a) amending the claims to correct lack of antecedent basis, e.g., "said connected rod" in claim 31 and 32 should be "said connecting rod", "said" before "adjacent flap" in claims 31 and 32 should be deleted, and
- (b) amending the claims to correct redundancy recitations, e.g., the subject matter in lines 3-7 of claims 49-52 is present in claims 31-34, respectively, and the subject matter in lines 3-7 of claims 53-56 is present in claims 31-34, respectively.